

provided to the left of your choice. Make no stray marks on the ballot. Do not use inks that soak through the paper. Do not use a pencil. Do not use white-out. If you make a mistake, request a new ballot from an election worker. To vote for a write-in candidate, completely fill in the box provided to the left of the words "Write-in" and write in the name of the qualified write-in candidate on the line provided.



CANDIDATES FOR UNITED STATES OFFICES

United States Senator

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Jim Risch** REP
- ☐ **Todd Achilles** IND
- ☐ **Natalie M Fleming** IND
- ☐ **Matt Loesby** LIB

United States Representative District 1

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Sarah Zabel** IND
- ☐ **Russ Fulcher** REP
- ☐ **Brendan J. Gomez** CON
- ☐ **Kaylee Peterson** DEM

Governor

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Brad Little** REP
- ☐ **Terri Pickens** DEM
- ☐ **Pro-Life** CON
(a person formerly known as Marvin Richardson)
- ☐ **Paul Sand** LIB
- ☐ **John R. Stegner** IND
- ☐ _____
Write-in

Lieutenant Governor

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Eric Myricks** DEM
- ☐ **Scott Bedke** REP

Secretary of State

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Phil McGrane** REP
- ☐ **Shawn Keenan** DEM

State Controller

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Brandon D Woolf** REP
- ☐ **Rakesh Mohan** DEM

State Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Kevin A Jones** DEM
- ☐ **Julie A. Ellsworth** REP

Attorney General

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Raul R. Labrador** REP
- ☐ **Lori Hickman** DEM

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Teresa Roundy** CON
- ☐ **Debbie Critchfield** REP
- ☐ **Becky Sundin Mitchell** DEM

CANDIDATES FOR LEGISLATIVE OFFICES

State Senator District 11

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Esther Ma** CON
- ☐ **Camille Blaylock** REP
- ☐ **Susan Council** DEM

State Representative District 11 Seat A

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Kent A. Marmon** REP

State Representative District 11 Seat B

(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Joshua Preminger** DEM
- ☐ **Debbie Geyer** REP

CANDIDATES FOR COUNTY OFFICES

County Commissioner District 1

4 Year Term
(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Jeffrey A. Skinner** IND
- ☐ **Stewart Hyndman** REP

County Commissioner District 2

2 Year Term
(Vote for One)

- ☐ **Brian Stroops** DEM
- ☐ **Brad Holton** REP

Clerk of the District Court

☐ Jennifer Watters REP

County Assessor

(Vote for One)

☐ Brian R Stender REP

County Coroner

(Vote for One)

☐ Jennifer Crawford REP

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Shall Magistrate Samuel N. Dickinson of Canyon County of the Third Judicial District be retained in office?

☐ Yes

☐ No

Shall Magistrate Chad Gulstrom of Canyon County of the Third Judicial District be retained in office?

☐ Yes

☐ No

Shall Magistrate Thomas A. Sullivan of Canyon County of the Third Judicial District be retained in office?

☐ Yes

☐ No

CANDIDATES FOR COLLEGE OF WESTERN IDAHO

College of Western Idaho Trustee Zone 2

(Vote for One)

☐ Mike Peña

College of Western Idaho Trustee

IDAHO CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS

House Joint Resolution 4

This proposed constitutional amendment would give the Legislature exclusive authority to legalize marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances in the State of Idaho.

Question: Shall Section 26, Article III of the Constitution of the State of Idaho be amended to provide that only the Idaho Legislature shall have the power and authority to legalize the growing, producing, manufacturing, transporting, selling, delivering, dispensing, administering, prescribing, distributing, possessing, or using of marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances?

A **YES** vote **supports** this constitutional amendment to provide that only the Idaho State Legislature shall have the authority to legalize marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances; and remove the ability for citizens to initiate state statutes that would legalize these substances.

A **NO** vote **opposes** this constitutional amendment, thereby retaining the ability for citizens to initiate state statutes that would legalize or decriminalize marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances.

☐ YES

☐ NO

House Joint Resolution 6

This proposed constitutional amendment would designate English as the official state language. All official speeches, meetings, and other proceedings of state and local governments, such as city council meetings and jury trials, would be conducted in English, and instruction in public

Question: Shall Article X of the Constitution of the State of Idaho be amended by the addition of a new Section 8, to provide that the English language shall be the official language for the State of Idaho, and to further provide that, except as required by federal law, English shall be used in all public proceedings, public documents, public instruction, and any other public acts of any public institution in the State of Idaho?

A **YES** vote **supports** adding a provision to the Idaho Constitution that designates English as the state's official language.

A **NO** vote **opposes** adding a provision to the Idaho Constitution that designates English as the state's official language, maintaining English as the state's official language in state statute only.

☐ YES

☐ NO

before fetus viability, and post-viability to protect health; right to privacy; healthcare provider liability protections.

The measure seeks to change Idaho's laws by introducing a right to reproductive freedom and privacy including a right to abortion up to the point of the fetus's ability to survive outside the womb. After fetal viability, there would be no general right to abortion except in cases of "medical emergency." The "medical emergency" exception would expand Idaho's current life exception and allow abortions when pregnant women face complicating physical conditions that threaten their life or health, "including serious impairment to a bodily function" or "serious dysfunction of any bodily organ or part."

The proposed measure codifies a right to make reproductive decisions, including contraception, fertility treatment, and prenatal and postpartum care. This includes a "right of privacy" in making these decisions. The measure seeks to prevent the state from enforcing certain abortion laws protecting the life of the unborn child. It would also impose a requirement that any restrictions on reproductive decisions, including abortion prior to fetus viability, must be "narrowly tailored to improve or maintain the health of the person seeking reproductive health care." The measure would also prevent the state from penalizing patients, healthcare providers, or anyone who assists in exercising the proposed right.

Funding Source Statement: No funding source is required for the Reproductive Freedom and Privacy Act as it does not create any new financial obligation on the state. Because no funding source is required, the Act has no impact on income taxes, sales tax, or product

state share of Idaho's Medicaid budget. This impact is derived from the costs of treating chemical abortion complications for women enrolled in Idaho's Medicaid program. Medicaid covers medically necessary services to treat complications from all abortions. It is anticipated that as legal abortions increase, the complications will also increase. This will likely result in an increase in Medicaid covered services and expenditures to treat complications from chemical abortions, which the State has reliable and readily available data to support.

Question: Shall the above-entitled measure proposed by Proposition One be approved?

A **YES** vote would support creating a right to abortion before fetal viability-defined as a fetus' ability to survive without extraordinary medical measures-and after fetal viability in cases of medical emergency; providing protections against professional discipline and civil and criminal liability for healthcare providers; and codifying a statutory reproductive right to freedom and privacy.

A **NO** vote would support making no change to Idaho's current law, which preserves the life of preborn children by prohibiting abortion, except when necessary to prevent the death of the pregnant woman, and during the first trimester in documented cases of rape or incest reported to law enforcement.

☐ YES

☐ NO

should be designated as the state gun of Idaho? Please choose only one answer.

☐ Winchester Model 1894 (.30-30)

☐ Winchester Model 1873 "Gun that Won the West" (.44-40)

☐ 1873 Colt Single Action Army Revolver "Peacemaker" (.45 Colt)

☐ M1 Garand rifle (.30-06)

☐ Colt M1911 .45 automatic Colt pistol (.45 ACP)

☐ Remington Model 700 bolt-action rifle (.30-06)

Shall the Board of Canyon County Commissioners of Canyon County, as requested by the majority of highway agencies in Canyon County, be authorized to implement a local vehicle registration fee of \$20.00 per motor and electric vehicle (\$19.00 for motorcycles) for a period of twenty (20) years, commencing January 1, 2027, through December 31, 2046, to be used exclusively for capital projects, construction, financing, repair, and maintenance, of local highways, roads, and bridges (including traffic congestion relief, safety improvements, and safe routes to schools)?"

This measure authorizes a local vehicle registration fee of \$20.00 per eligible motor vehicle (\$19.00 for motorcycles) in addition to current state registration fees. The fee is estimated to generate approximately \$4.4 million annually to address an estimated \$49 million collective annual transportation funding shortfall among local highway jurisdictions in Canyon County. A list of projects that cities and highway districts have committed to construct in the first three years can be found on the City of Nampa website.

All revenue collected will remain in Canyon County. In accordance with Idaho Code § 40-827(6), proceeds will be distributed among local cities and highway districts under the statutory default formula (30% divided among incorporated cities based on population; 70% divided among highway districts/county road system based on road mileage and equal splits), unless modified by a future written fund distribution agreement for 50% cities and 50% highway districts executed unanimously by the cities and highway districts.

The fee applies annually to passenger automobiles, pickup trucks (under 8,000 lbs. gross

- 49-420.
- ☐ IN FAVOR of the \$20 local non-exempt vehicle fee (\$19 for motorcycles) for 20 years.
- ☐ AGAINST the \$20 local non-exempt vehicle fee (\$19 for motorcycles) for 20 years.

Caldwell School District No. 132 Supplemental Levy

The Board of Trustees of School District No. 132, Canyon County, State of Idaho, is seeking authorization to levy a Supplemental Levy for the following purposes and approximate amount of levy funds to be allocated to each use:

Purpose: Certified and Classified Staff
Amount: \$3,500,000

Purpose: Activities and Athletics
Amount: \$550,000

Purpose: School Safety and Security
Amount: \$475,000

Purpose: Student Transportation
Amount: \$400,000

Purpose: Grounds Upkeep and Maintenance
Amount: \$225,000

Purpose: Accelerated Learning Programs
Amount: \$175,000

Purpose: Behavioral and Mental Health Support
Amount: \$475,000

TOTAL ANNUAL LEVY AMOUNT: \$5,800,000/year

QUESTION: Shall the Board of Trustees of School District No. 132, Canyon County, State of Idaho, be authorized and empowered to levy a Supplemental Levy in the amount of Five Million Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$5,800,000) per

- The estimated average annual cost to the taxpayer on the proposed levy is a tax of \$99.70 per \$100,000 of taxable assessed value, per year, based on current conditions.
- ☐ IN FAVOR OF AUTHORIZING A SUPPLEMENTAL LEVY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,800,000 PER YEAR FOR TWO (2) YEARS
- ☐ AGAINST AUTHORIZING A SUPPLEMENTAL LEVY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,800,000 PER YEAR FOR TWO (2) YEARS